

**BEFORE THE
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
WASHINGTON, D.C.**

In the Matter of)	
)	
)	
2007/2008/2009 U.S.-CHINA AIR SERVICES AND COMBINATION FREQUENCY ALLOCATION PROCEEDING)	Docket OST-07-28567
)	
)	

**PETITION OF UNITED AIR LINES, INC.
FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER 2007-6-15**

Communications with respect to this document should be sent to:

JULIE OETTINGER
Managing Director – International &
Regulatory Affairs
UNITED AIR LINES, INC.
1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1210
Washington, D.C. 20036

MARY BARNICLE
Manager – International & Regulatory
Affairs
UNITED AIR LINES, INC.
77 West Wacker Drive, HDQIZ
Chicago, IL 60601

BRUCE H. RABINOVITZ
JONATHAN MOSS
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 663-6960 (Phone)
(202) 663-6655
(202) 663-6363 (Fax)
bruce.rabinovitz@wilmerhale.com
jonathan.moss@wilmerhale.com

Counsel for
UNITED AIR LINES, INC.

DATED: June 28, 2007

**BEFORE THE
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
WASHINGTON, D.C.**

In the Matter of)	
)	
2007/2008/2009 U.S.-CHINA AIR SERVICES AND COMBINATION FREQUENCY ALLOCATION PROCEEDING)	Docket OST-07-28567
)	
)	
)	

Dated: June 28, 2007

**PETITION OF UNITED AIR LINES, INC.
FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER 2007-6-15**

United Air Lines, Inc. (“United”) hereby petitions the Department to reconsider its decision in Order 2007-6-15 (“Order”) to consolidate three annual rounds of new combination service opportunities into a single proceeding and to set extraordinarily short procedural dates for all three rounds. In support of its petition, United submits the following:

1. In Order 2007-6-15, the Department decided to include in a single proceeding the allocation of the 42 new combination air service opportunities that become available under the U.S.-China Air Services Agreement over a three-year period. These opportunities are scheduled to take effect on August 1, 2007, March 25, 2008, and March 25, 2009. As explained in the Order, the Department decided to consolidate these three separate annual rounds of new opportunities into a single case because the Department believed that the “commenters were generally interested in proceedings that would facilitate the award of U.S. carrier rights with the greatest efficiency and with a minimum of procedural/evidentiary burden.” Order 2007-6-15 at 2.

