

EXHIBIT C

Federal Court of Appeals Court V
The Judiciary of the Nation

CASE No. 156.886/2002

“UNITED AIRLINES INC.MED- & OTHERS VS.
EN – PEN DECREE 577/02 s/ “PROCESS OF
KNOWLEDGE”

2 pgs.

Buenos Aires 17th of October of 2002 . –

AND WHEREAS:

The remedy of appeal that founded on pages 223/252 filed by the complainant against the decision on pages 192/193 and vta., And:

CONSIDERING:

I. That the complainant companies, in their capacity of aviation transporters promote action merely declarative in order to obtain a judicial declaration of certainty, in whose virtue is established the unconstitutionality and, hence, the correlative inapplicability of article 2 of decree 577/02. It maintains that the legal regulation referred to , by means of clarification, established that the air navigation fees for international flights should be collected in United States dollars, not effecting I distinguish any with relation to the fees that should be paid by air transporters and those that passengers pay in cash, motive for which this action is directed to clarify the situation in which the complainants find themselves, as subjects obligated to principals of aeronautical fees that are their responsibility, and, additionally, as agents that collect fees that passengers should pay;

That, within this legal framework, they request as a precautionary measure that the effects emerging from the cited article 2 of decree 577/02 be suspended, insofar as under pretext of clarification, with ostensible transgression of the legal and constitutional regulations, establish the “dollarization” of the airport fees for international flights;

That it is also necessary to point out that in the presentation effected by the complainant before this Court – see pages 385/392 – they denounce new circumstances of fact and of law that have generated, in their opinion, a larger amount of uncertainty than that originally presented.

II. That in this context, it should be pointed out that the articulation of an action merely declaratory neutralizes, in principle and without prejudice of the

eventual exceptions that may be formed, the possibility of obtaining precautionary measures, since if the supposed reality that qualifies an action of this type to become extended to the state of uncertainty, then it is understood that the credibility of the law is presented with a notoriously diminished intensity.

III. That, on the other hand, the Court understands that the subject debated, refers, definitively to the analysis over the justification itself of the legal material alleged, compromising in such a way the express constitutional guarantees, as the defense in trial and the equality of the parties in the proceeding;

That, in the conditions listed, being that the credibility of law constitutes a fundamental requirement for the attribution of the safeguard, its lack of existence determines, inexorably the lack of justification for the measure requested.

For the aforementioned reasons, the Court RESOLVES: to confirm the judgment appealed by the fundamentals "ut supra" presented.-

Record, notify and return.-

Signature –illegible
Pablo Gallegos Fedriani


Signature- illegible
Carlos Manuel Grecco

Signature- illegible
Luis César Otero

Signature – illegible
Mirta E. Alvarez

8 AContentious-Administrative No. 5
Book of Judgments
Recorded in No. 932 P. 1044 Vol. II

Illegible Stamp... Alvarez



LEGAL LANGUAGE SERVICES

An affiliate of ALS International
18 John Street
Suite 300
New York, NY 10038

Telephone (212) 766-4111
Toll Free (800) 788-0450
Telefax (212) 349-0964
www.legallanguage.com

May 28, 2003

To whom it may concern:

This is to certify that the attached translation from Spanish into English is an accurate representation of the document received by this office. This document is designated as:

Federal Court of Appeals Court V / Dated October 17th, 2002

George Alves, Manager Translation Services of this company, certifies that Shelley Roan, who translated this document, is fluent in Spanish and standard North American English and is qualified to translate.

He attests to the following:

"To the best of my knowledge, the accompanying text is a true, full and accurate translation of the specified document."

Signature of George Alves

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28th day of May 2003

Rosemary Brito
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01BR6077317
Certificate filed in New York County
Qualified in Kings County
Commission Expires July 8, 2006

Sincerely,

Victor J. Hertz
President & CEO